

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/803,012	03/12/2001	Junya Kaku	010304	7340	
38834	7590 09/26/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			CHEVALIER, ROBERT		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2621		
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/803,012	KAKU, JUNYA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Bob Chevalier	2621		

Advisory Addion	09/003,072	IONO, SONTA	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bob Chevalier	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>	):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•	•	•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 2-6. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the process of the proc</li></ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
See Continuation Sheet.	(DTO/SB/08) Donor No/o)	•	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s).	Robert Ch	roli
,		'ROBERT CHEVAL PRIMARY EXAMI	NER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Sata's reference fails to disclose the feature of transferring a partial amount per time of the moving image content from said recording medium to said internal memory, as called for in claim 1. In response, it is to be noted that as indicated in the Final Rejection mailed out on 6/19/06, such a feature of transferring a partial amount per time of the moving image content from the recording medium to the internal memory is already recited in the cited reference of Konuta et al's page 1, paragraphs (0019-00211, and page 3, paragraph (0037). Therefore, Sata et al does not need to recite such a claimed limitation argued by Applicant. Sata et al is cited for the capability of simultaneously transferring and reproducing recorded data on and from a memory recording medium. (See Sata et al's Figure 1, components 3-5 wherein such a feature is noted to be disclosed.